

# Moor Vannin EPP Decisions Log



This document is part of a suite of preliminary environmental materials prepared to fulfil pre-application consultation requirements under The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (of Parliament) as applied to the Island by the Climate Change (Infrastructure Planning) (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Application) Order 2024.

## How to read and use the Decisions Log

There is a sheet for each EIA topic that should be updated following each Technical Advisory Group (TAG) workshop with the agreed decisions as per the meeting minutes.

The "Meeting Tracker" tab should be updated following each Evidence Plan Process (EPP) meeting/workshop.

**Meeting ID system:** Unique for every meeting held for the EPP with format: "XX.Y.Z" e.g., PP1.1. XX = shorthand for the EIA topic e.g., Physical Processes = PP, Y = the EPP stage (No LSE: 1, Baseline: 2), Z = Meeting number

The "Document Tracker" tab should be updated with the documents provided to TAG participants for discussion in a TAG workshop, with separate rows added for updated documents and reference to relevant meetings using the Meeting ID system. The "Action Tracker" tab lists all recorded actions from meeting minutes separated by topic, to be updated following each meeting and kept up to date.

### Decision Log Sheets

#### Rows:

Each row represents a Decision, made during the TAG workshops, recorded in the meeting minutes

Decisions should be logged under the relevant stage of the EPP, shown as headings in each sheet (No LSE, Baseline, Methodology, Assessment Outcomes, Monitoring & Mitigation)

Where a topic skips a stage (i.e. No LSE) "NA" should be inserted in the first row beneath the stage heading.

#### Columns:

**Decision ID** Unique for every decision in the Decision Log with format: "XX-DY.ZZ" e.g., "PP-D2.01".

XX Shorthand for EIA topic e.g., Physical Processes = PP

DY "D" for Decision + EPP Stage (No LSE: 1, Baseline: 2 etc.) E.g., Decision on Baseline = D2

ZZ Decision number for that EPP stage. E.g., 1st decision = 01

**Topic** The topic that the decision has been made about. E.g., the 2nd No LSE impact for Physical Processes = "PP-02". Or for Baseline discussions: "Fisheries data sources".

**Decisions** A summary of the decision that was made, coloured green for an agreement, amber if further information is required or red for a disagreement.

**Action Required** A summary action to resolve the decision if relevant (see Actions Tracker for all actions)

**Further Comments** A record of updates with dates to include actions taken and decisions made, before a final decision is made

**Final Decision** The final decision on the topic once all relevant information has been provided, to either be an agreement or disagreement on the topic.

### EXAMPLE (Physical Processes (PP)):

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
PP-D1.01	PP-01	The TAG decided that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on marine processes in the Offshore Array	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 01/03/24: (Further updates)	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
PP-D2.01	Data sources	It was agreed that the data sources to be used for the baseline characterisation were sufficient.	NA	NA	Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
PP-D3.01	Modelling parameters	The TAG's position is that the modelling methodology requires more conservative parameters used.	Modelling section in the Methodology Technical Report requires updating to use more conservative parameters	01/05/24: Updated Modelling parameters used considered sufficient for the purposes of being used to assess the impacts	Agreed
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
PP-D4.01	PP-02	It was agreed that impact PP-02 was assessed as per the methodology and resulted in a conclusion of No LSE	NA (Update the Impacts Register)	NA	Agreed
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					
PP-D5.01	Mitigation of installation activities	Disagreement that including a commitment to carry out mitigation during installation was proportionate. Further justification required to evidence Ørsted's position.	Further justification that mitigation is disproportionate to be provided by the Applicant.	01/09/24: Justification provided in updated Monitoring & Mitigation Technical Report. Disagreement that justification is sufficient. No further actions taken.	Disagree

Meeting ID	Meeting Date	Attendees	Minutes	Notes
<b>Oversight Group</b>				
OC1.0	11/01/2024	Julian Carolan (Orsted) Tobias Naylor (Orsted) (online) Jessica Antas (Orsted) (online) Sam McCauley (IoM Government) Emma Rowan (DoI) Ken Milne (DEFA) David Harter (DIE) Mark Syme (DoI) (online)	MVW01_EPP_Oversight_Group_OC1.0_MoM_11.01.24	Agreed meeting frequency: once every 2 months
OC2.0	29/02/2024	Tobias Naylor (Orsted) Jessica Antas (Orsted) Antonia Peacock-Jones (GoBe Consultants) Sam McCauley (IoM Government) Emma Rowan (DoI) Ken Milne (DEFA) David Harter (DIE) Mark Syme (DoI) (online)	MVW01_EPP_Oversight_Group_OC2.0_MoM_29.02.24	
S2.0	21/03/2024	Julian Carolan (Orsted) Toby Naylor (Orsted) Ryan McManus (GoBe) Sean Leach (Pictch) Oliver Chapman (Pictch) David Harter (DIE) Emma Rowan (DoI) Ken Milne (DEFA) Sam McCauley (DHSC)	MVW01_EPP_Oversight_Group_S2.0_MoM_21.03.24	
CC1.0 & WM1.0	25/04/2024	Jessica Antas (Orsted) Toby Naylor (Orsted) Ryan McManus (GoBe) David Harter (DIE) Emma Rowan (DoI) Ken Milne (DEFA) Sam McCauley (DHSC)	MVW01_EPP_Oversight_Group_CC1.0 WM1.0_MoM_25.04.24	
<b>1. Offshore Environment TAG</b>				
<b>1.1 Physical Subgroups</b>				
MP1.0.6 & WQ 1.0	30/01/2024	Garry Lewin, Defa Taylor Bridgens, Defa Peter Duncan, Defa	MVW01_EPP_TAG_PP&WQ1.1_MoM_30.01.24	
MP2.0&3.0	12/03/2024	Taylor Bridgens, Defa Garry Lewin, Defa Ken Milne, Defa Peter Duncan, Defa Kev Kennington, Defa Jonathan Demmer, GoBe Ryan McManus, GoBe Hannah Townner-Roethe, Orsted	MVW01_EPP_TAG_MP2.0_MoM_20240312	
MP1.1	25/03/2024	Taylor Bridgens, Defa Garry Lewin, Defa Ken Milne, Defa Kev Kennington, Defa Jonathan Demmer, GoBe Consultants Antonia Peacock-Jones, GoBe Consultants Tobias Naylor, Orsted	MVW01_EPP_TAG_MP1.1_MoM_20240325	
MWSQ2.0	23/04/2024	Taylor Bridgens, Defa Garry Lewin, Defa Kev Kennington, Defa Ryan McManus, GoBe Antonia Peacock-Jones, GoBe Sammy Sheldon, GoBe Hannah Townner-Roethe, Orsted	MVW01_EPP_TAG_MWSQ_MoM 2.0_20240423	
MWSQ1.2	23/05/2024	Taylor Bridgens, Defa Garry Lewin, Defa Kev Kennington, Defa Ryan McManus, GoBe Antonia Peacock-Jones, GoBe Sammy Sheldon, GoBe Hannah Townner-Roethe, Orsted	MVW01_EPP_TAG_MWSQ_MoM 1.2_20240523	
<b>1.2 Biological Subgroups</b>				
FS1.0.6, BE1.0	11/01/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa David Beard, MFPO Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Peter Duncan, Defa Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_BE&FS 1.0_MoM_11.01.24	No comments received on meeting minutes
HM1.0	31/01/2024	Bryony Manis, MWI Richard Selman, Defa Allison Leonard, Manx BirdLife David Bellamy, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_HM1.0_Minutes_of_Meeting_31.01.24	
O1.0	01/02/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa David Beard, MFPO Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_O1.0_MoM_01.02.24	
FS1.1&2.0	01/02/2024	Bryony Manis, MWI Peter Duncan, Defa Richard Selman, Defa Allison Leonard, Manx BirdLife Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_FS&2.0_MoM_20240227	
HM2.0	27/02/2024	Richard Selman, Defa Allison Leonard, Manx BirdLife Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_HM2.0_MoM_27.02.2024	
O3.0	29/02/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_O3.0_MoM_29.02.2024	
BE2.0	29/02/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University David Beard, MFPO Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_BE2.0_MoM_29.02.2024	
UWN3.0	29/02/2024	Allison Leonard, Manx BirdLife David Bellamy, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_UWN3.0_MoM_29.02.2024	
O2.0	20/03/2024	David Bellamy, MWI Gavin Cleator, Defa Peter Duncan, Defa Lara Howe, Manx Wildlife Trust Jack Emmerson, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University David Beard, MFPO	MVW01_EPP_TAG_O2.0_MoM_20240324	
FF3.0	23/04/2024	Gavin Cleator, Defa Richard Selman, Defa Allison Leonard, Manx BirdLife Julian Carolan, Orsted David Bellamy, MWI Sophie Costain, Defa Antonia Peacock-Jones, GoBe James Miles, GoBe	MVW01_EPP_TAG_FF3.0_MoM_20240423	
O3.1	21/05/2024	Hannah Townner-Roethe, Orsted Peter Duncan, Defa Gavin Cleator, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_O3.1_MoM_20240521	
BE3.0	05/06/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Gavin Cleator, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Lara Howe, MWI	MVW01_EPP_TAG_BE3.0_MoM_20240605	

		Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Jack Emerson, Defa John Bleach, GoBe Consultants Fiona Nimmo, NEMA Consultants David Bellamy, MWT David Beard, MFPO		
FF1.1	26/06/2024		MVW01_EPP_TAG_FF1.1_MoM_20240626.docx	
UWN3.0	17/07/2024	Planned		
HN3.0	18/07/2024	Planned		
Q4.0	19/07/2024	Planned		
FF4.0	05/08/2024	Planned		
HM4.0	07/08/2024	Planned		
<b>1. Fisheries Subgroups</b>				
PS1.0 & BE1.0	11/01/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa David Beard, MFPO Isabel Bloor, Bangor University	MVW01_EPP_TAG_BE6FS 1.0_MoM_110124	No comments received on meeting minutes
CF2.0	27/02/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Jack Emmerson, Defa David Beard, MFPO Isabel Bloor, Bangor University	MVW01_EPP_TAG_CF2.0_MoM_20240227	
BE2.0	29/02/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Lara Howe, MWT	MVW01_EPP_TAG_BE2.0_MoM_29022024	
UWN3.0	29/02/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University David Beard, MFPO Lara Howe, MWT	MVW01_EPP_TAG_UWN3.0_MoM_29022024	
BE3.0	05/06/2024	Peter Duncan, Defa Gavin Cleator, Defa Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Lara Howe, MWT	MVW01_EPP_TAG_O3.0_MoM_202605	
		Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Jack Emerson, Defa John Bleach, GoBe Consultants Fiona Nimmo, NEMA Consultants David Bellamy, MWT David Beard, MFPO		
FF1.1	26/06/2024		MVW01_EPP_TAG_FF1.1_MoM_20240626.docx	
UWN3.0	17/07/2024	Planned		
FF4.0	05/08/2024	Planned		
<b>2. Onshore Environment TAG</b>				
<b>3. Lifeline Services TAG</b>				
AR1.0 & 2.0	12/02/2024	Gary Cobb, Dal Airport Geoffrey Pugh, Dal Airport Matthew Shaw, Dal Airport Lloyd Taggett, Dal Airport	MVW01_EPP_TAG_AR1.0&2.0_MoM_12.02.24	
AR4.0	23/05/2024	Ryan McManus, GoBe Hannah Towner-Rogine, Orsted George Maloney, Cyrus Simon McPherson, Cyrus Antonia Peacock-Jones, GoBe Gary Cobb, Dal Airport Geoffrey Pugh, Dal Airport Matthew Shaw, Dal Airport	MVW01_EPP_TAG_AR4.0_MoM_23.05.24	
SN2.4 & 3.4	27/02/2024	Reeve Walton, WS Mezeron Allan Hamay, WS Mezeron Tobias Naylor, Orsted Antonia Peacock-Jones, GoBe Sam Westwood, Anatec James Milne, Anatec Rebecca Running, Anatec	WS Mezeron Consultation 27022024	
SN2.3 & 3.3	29/02/2024	Adam Lewis, NLB Peter Douglas, NLB Tobias Naylor, Orsted Ryan McManus, GoBe Sam Westwood, Anatec James Milne, Anatec Rebecca Running, Anatec	NLB Consultation 29022024	

SN2.0 & 3.0	03/04/2024	Robert Merrylees, CoS Hannah Townner-Roethke, Orsted Antonia Peacock, CoBe James Milne, Anatec Rebecca Running, Anatec	Chamber of Shipping Consultation 03042024	
SN2.1 & 3.1	04/03/2024	Michael Proctor, StenoLine Ryan Nicholas, CoBe Hannah Townner-Roethke, Orsted Sam Westwood, Anatec James Milne, Anatec Leanne Anderson, Anatec	StenoLine Consultation 04032024	
SN2.2 & 3.2	04/03/2024	Robert Hunter, SteamPacket Company Hannah Townner-Roethke, Orsted Sam Westwood, Anatec James Milne, Anatec Leanne Anderson, Anatec	SteamPacket Consultation 04032024	
<b>4. Seascapes, Landscapes &amp; Heritage Impact TAG</b>				
OA1.0	31/01/2024	None	MVW01_EPP_TAG_OA1.0 & 2.0_Decision Summary	Meeting cancelled owing to stakeholder pre-agreement with Position Paper
SLV1.0&2.0	07/03/2024			No attendees showed up to online meeting
OA2.0	19/03/2024	None	MVW01_EPP_TAG_OA1.0 & 2.0_Decision Summary	Meeting cancelled owing to stakeholder pre-agreement with Position Paper
SLV1.0&2.0	21/03/2024	Andrew Johnson, HNH	MVW01_EPP_TAG_SLVIA_HoM1_1.0&2.0_20240321	
SLVIA3.0	25/04/2024	Gavin Cleator, Defra	MVW01_EPP_TAG_SLVIA_HoM 3.0_20240423	
OA3.0	21/05/2024			Meeting cancelled last minute owing to stakeholder unavailability
OA3.0	17/06/2024	Andrew Johnson, HNH Gavin Cleator, Defra	MVW01_EPP_TAG_OA3.0_HoM_20240617.docx	
SLVIA4.0	16/07/2024	Planned		
<b>5. Archaeology and Landscape TAG</b>				
<b>6. Noise, Air Quality and Traffic TAG</b>				
<b>7. Soils and Water TAG</b>				
<b>8. Biodiversity TAG</b>				
<b>8.A. Offshore Ecology Subgroup</b>				
<b>8.B. Onshore Ecology Subgroup</b>				
<b>8.C. Protected Sites Assessment Subgroup</b>				
PSA1.0	21/05/2024	Nicholas Salmon, DEFA Aline Thomas, DEFA Peter Duncan, DEFA Allison Leonard, Mems Birdlife David Bellamy, HWI Isabel Bloor, Bangor University Antonia Peacock-Jones, CoBe Tobias Naylor, Orsted	Moor Vannin_EPP_TAG_PSA2.0_HoM_210524	

# Moor Vannin

## EPP Documents Tracker



Document Name	Version	Date provided	Meeting to be discussed	Notes
<b>Oversight Group</b>				
Socioeconomics No LSE Position Paper	A	01/02/2024	S2.0	Provided to David Morter (DfE) for review, agreed prior to meeting so paper not discussed in meeting
MVAW01_Socio-economics_Baseline_VFinal.pdf	A	15/03/2024	S2.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper – Materials and Waste	A	24/04/2024	CC1.0 & WM1.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper – Climate Change	A	17/04/2024	CC1.0 & WM1.0	
<b>1. Offshore Environment TAG</b>				
<i>1.1. Physical Subgroup</i>				
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Physical Processes.pdf	A	19/01/2024	MP1.0 & WQ1.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Marine Water and Sediment Quality.pdf	A	19/01/2024	MP1.0 & WQ1.0	
Moor Vannin Physical Processes Baseline Position Paper.pdf	A	23/02/2024	MP2.0&3.0	
Moor Vannin Physical Processes Modelling Position Paper.pdf	A	23/02/2024	MP2.0&3.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Physical Processes (Tracked)	B	27/03/2024	MP1.1	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Physical Processes (Clean).pdf	B	21/03/2024	MP1.1	
Moor Vannin Marine Water and Sediment Quality Technical Baseline.pdf	A	22/03/2024	MWSQ2.0	
Moor Vannin Plankton Position Paper.pdf	A	25/04/2024	MWSQ1.2	
<i>1.2. Biological Subgroup</i>				
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Fish and Shellfish Ecology.pdf	A	22/12/2024	FS1.0 & BE1.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Fish and Shellfish Ecology (Tracked).pdf	B	29/01/2024	FS1.1&2.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Benthic Ecology.pdf	A	22/12/2024	FS1.0 & BE1.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Marine Mammals.pdf	A	17/01/2024	MM1.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Offshore Ornithology.pdf	A	18/01/2024	O1.0	
Moor Vannin Marine Mammal Baseline Report.pdf	A	15/02/2024	MM2.0	
Moor Vannin Offshore Ornithology Assessment Methodology.pdf	A	16/02/2024	O3.0	
Moor Vannin Benthic Ecology Baseline Position Paper.pdf	A	15/02/2024	BE2.0	
Moor Vannin Underwater Noise Modelling Paper.pdf	A	16/02/2024	UWN3.0	
Moor Vannin Fish and Shellfish Baseline Report.pdf	A	18/01/2024	FS1.1&2.0	
Moor Vannin Offshore Ornithology Technical Baseline.pdf	A	23/02/2024	O2.0	
Moor Vannin Ornithology Assessment Methodology.pdf	A	10/05/2024	O3.1	
Moor Vannin Fish and Fisheries Methodology.pdf	A	10/04/2024	FF3.0	
Moor Vannin Benthic Assessment Methodology.pdf	A	27/05/2024	BE3.0	
<i>1.3. Fisheries Subgroup</i>				
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Fish and Shellfish Ecology.pdf	A	22/12/2024	FS1.0 & BE1.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Fish and Shellfish Ecology (Tracked).pdf	B	29/01/2024	FS1.1&2.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Benthic Ecology.pdf	A	22/12/2024	FS1.0 & BE1.0	
Moor Vannin Commercial Fisheries Baseline Position Paper.pdf	A	16/02/2024	CF2.0	
Moor Vannin Benthic Ecology Baseline Position Paper.pdf	A	15/02/2024	BE2.0	
Moor Vannin Underwater Noise Modelling Paper.pdf	A	16/02/2024	UWN3.0	
Moor Vannin Fish and Shellfish Baseline Report.pdf	A	18/01/2024	FS1.1&2.0	
Moor Vannin Fish and Fisheries Methodology.pdf	A	10/04/2024	FF3.0	
Moor Vannin Benthic Assessment Methodology.pdf	A	27/05/2024	BE3.0	
<b>2. Onshore Environment TAG</b>				
<b>3. Lifetime Services TAG</b>				
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Military and Civil Aviation.pdf	A	17/01/2024	AR1.0 & 2.0	
Moor Vannin Position Paper Aviation RIUS.pdf	A	17/01/2024	AR1.0 & 2.0	
Moor Vannin Aviation Assessment Outcomes.pdf	A	21/05/2024	AR4.0	
<b>4. Seiscope, Landscape &amp; Heritage Impact TAG</b>				
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Offshore Archaeology.pdf	A	17/01/2024	OA1.0	
Moor Vannin Offshore Archaeology Baseline Position Paper.pdf	A	11/03/2024	OA2.0	
Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper SLVIA.pdf	A	15/02/2024	SLV1.0&2.0	
Moor Vannin Technical Baseline SLVIA.pdf	A	15/02/2024	SLV1.0&2.0	
Moor Vannin SLVIA Method Statement.pdf	A	22/03/2024	SLVIA3.0	
<b>5. Archeology and Landscape TAG</b>				
<b>6. Noise, Air Quality and Traffic TAG</b>				
<b>7. Soils and Water TAG</b>				
<b>8. Biodiversity TAG</b>				
<i>8.1. Offshore Ecology Subgroup</i>				
<i>8.2. Onshore Ecology Subgroup</i>				
<i>8.3. Protected Sites Assessment Subgroup</i>				
Moor Vannin Protected Sites Assessment Screening.pdf	A	25/04/2024	PSA1.0	

Action ID	Action	Responsible	Deadline / Completed	Meeting ID	Notes
<b>Oversight Group</b>					
ToR.01	TN to update ToR to remove reference to the point on "any position", "formal" approvals and the requirement for signing, ensuring they confirm that all minutes and decision logs will be submitted for agreement via an informal sign off at the end of the EPP.	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.02	ER to provide the Manx Utilities contacts to TN for the Soils & Water TAG	Emma Rowan (Dol)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.03	ER to confirm with Emily Curphey (EC) where this requirement for Dol to publish a high level summary of the OG meetings on loM website came from and if it's still required.	Emma Rowan (Dol)		OG1.0	
ToR.04	TN to add line into ToR that all information submitted will be made publicly available at the point of application during the examination, so that a FoI can be deferred to when this is made available (COMPLETE).	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.05	ER to discuss with Emily how to delegate responsibility within the Oversight Group.	Emma Rowan (Dol)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.06	TN to add a line in the ToR on inclusion of Climate Change & Socioeconomics topics with the Oversight Group, to be discussed and agreed in line with the same process as the TAGs (COMPLETE).	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.07	TN to provide a monthly update with confirmed workshop timings to OG and TAGs with 1 month look ahead to allow planning / resource management.	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE (Ongoing)	OG1.0	
S.01	JA to provide Socioeconomics No LSE Position Paper to DM when it's prepared.	Jessica Antas (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.08	TN to setup meetings for 1 hour every other month, noting potential additional meetings for TAG discussions.	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.09	TN to add a recurring agenda item: Review and updates to ToR in light of emerging relevant legislation (MIMA & Climate Change Regs).	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.10	TN to provide the updated ToR to all EPP participants.	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG1.0	
ToR.11	Jess to liaise with Emma and Mark via email in order to find someone in a position to attend TAG meetings in place of Neil Caine.	Jessica Antas (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG2.0	
ToR.12	David to follow-up with MNH on engagement and attendance to TAG meetings.	David Morter (DfE)	COMPLETE	OG2.0	
ToR.13	Ken to follow-up with Jennifer as to whether she plans to attend meetings or delegate going forwards.	Ken Milne (DEFA)	COMPLETE	OG2.0	
S.02	Jess to push back the Socio-economics baseline TAG meeting to start from 2pm so that Ken can attend.	Jessica Antas (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG2.0	
CC.01	Jess to confirm the approach to discussing climate change impacts.	Jessica Antas (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG2.0	
ToR.14	Toby to update section 4.2 of the terms of Reference to recognise Sam as chair.	Toby Naylor (Orsted)	COMPLETE	OG2.0	
S.03	Hatch to include a statement that links Socioeconomics chapter to the other EIA topics as there are related impacts that affect both Socioeconomics and other receptors.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.04	Hatch to consider revision of Study Areas based on the outcomes of impacts in other topics.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.05	Hatch to add signposting reference to Fish and Fisheries chapter and Shipping and Navigation chapter to reflect consideration of impacts assessed in other topics.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.06	Hatch to make the Biosphere Status section more prominent in the report.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.07	DM and RM to check with respective business agencies on the value of imports (food) and exports by sea and provide data where available.	David Morter (DfE) and Ken Milne (DEFA)	COMPLETE	S2.0	
S.08	GoBe to send email to ER and DM requesting a breakdown of passenger data from cruise ships, any visitor numbers to paid attractions (such as the electric railway, Laxey Wheel and Camera Obscura).	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.09	RM to update statement on "no quantitative data available for usage of recreational and tourism assets" to clarify the type of data that is no available.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.10	Hatch to add the non-executive directors and seasonal jobs factors to as supporting factors for the discrepancy in jobs to employment rate to the footnote of the report.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.11	Hatch to include table with breakdown of job sectors, with inclusion of commercial fisheries industry and signpost to Fish and Fisheries chapter.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline

S.12	Hatch to include table with breakdown of economic sectors, with inclusion of commercial fisheries where available.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.13	Hatch to add in employment numbers at Manx Utilities to support the energy generation economy section of the report with input from DM (requested data from Manx Utilities)	Ryan McManus (GoBe) (and David Morter (DfE))	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.14	Hatch to add visitors to assets (Electric Railway, Laxey Wheel and Camera Obscura) to this "Visitor and recreational activities" section.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.15	Hatch to review report provided by Emma (link in minutes) to identify relevant diving clubs.	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.16	Hatch to include reference to Fish and Fisheries to "Visitor and recreational activities" section with reference to inshore angling in the assessment if not included elsewhere	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
S.17	Hatch to review the KPMG report and include any relevant information into the Future Baseline assessment (link in minutes)	Ryan McManus (GoBe)	30/04/2024	S2.0	To be included in updated baseline
<b>Physical Processes</b>					
MP.01	RM and HTR to update the Physical Processes No LSE Position Paper to consider the further evidence presented on the location of the Irish Sea front.	GoBe / Orsted	COMPLETE	MP1.0	Prepared for meeting 25/03/24
MP.02	PD to request someone within Defa to review the differing sources describing the extent of the Irish Sea front and provide a written position to the Applicant.	Peter Duncan, Defa	COMPLETE	MP1.0	Email from PD provided 04/03/24
MP.03	RM to update the study area section within this baseline document (and consider requirement in other baseline documents) to make clearer the purpose of the study area for baseline data gathering.	RM	COMPLETE	MP2.0	
MP.04	TB to share up to date Port Erin wave buoy data and Ramsey and Laxey data if available.	TB	COMPLETE	MP2.0	Email from TB provided 11/04/24
MP.05	JD to provide a list of the specific images / points he would like sources for from KK's ppt.	JD	COMPLETE	MP2.0	Closed?
MP.06	To update Figure 3 legend to include the names of the rocks as this is missing. Names of rocks rather than the geology code names to be clearer.	JD	COMPLETE	MP2.0	
MP.07	Update Figure 9 as this appears to be mislabelled with seasonal wave heights (swap winter and summer labels).	RM	COMPLETE	MP2.0	
MP.08	Update section 4.4.1.3 in Baseline Position Paper with Douglas Bay.	RM	COMPLETE	MP2.0	
MP.09	JD to update the MP No LSE Position Paper with brief reference to Gowen et al. (2008)	APJ/JD	COMPLETE	MP1.1	Provided with mins of meeting
<b>Marine Water &amp; Sediment Quality</b>					
WQ.01	PD to share papers on metal pollutants and mining in the area.	Peter Duncan, Defa	COMPLETE	MWSQ1.0	
WQ.02	HTR to confirm what the near-field study area was for Walney Extension. Clarity sought on if this 12km approach is standard.	HTR	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	HATOW to find text in baseline and share on 23rd May
WQ.03	APJ to review whether KK has had a copy of the Marine Processes modelling methodology.	APJ	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	HATOW to check invite
WQ.04	DM to share the links to the 2023 river and bathing water quality data sources from the island for inclusion in the data sources.	DM	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	
WQ.05	APJ add in the data provided by TB from Cypri and Port Erin to data sources.	APJ	ES	MWSQ2.0	
WQ.06	APJ to review of the available UKHO IeM bathymetric data that could be of use.	APJ	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	
WQ.07	Laxey and Port Lewaigue non-designated bathing waters to be included in paragraph 4.1.3.4 and Port Lewaigue to be added to the receptor list.	APJ	ES	MWSQ2.0	
WQ.08	DM to provide a summary of the discharge locations / licences within the Study Area. (Groule priority but whole Study Area would be appreciated)	DM	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	email received 08/05
WQ.09	APJ to discuss with MW&SQ technical specialist the value of testing for plankton cysts for the purposes of the EIA and if required, to discuss with the lab if plankton cysts is something that can be assessed	APJ	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	We won't be doing this based on our conclusion of No LSE.
WQ.10	HTR to organise a follow up meeting to review updated baseline and discuss inclusion of plankton in the baseline.	HTR	COMPLETE	MWSQ2.0	sheduled 23/05
WQ.11	In paragraph 4.1.3.3 the water quality of two specific rivers is mentioned but reference to the specific contaminant (in this case, nitrogen) should also be made	APJ	ES	MWSQ2.0	
WQ.12	update the no LSE paper and add references to provided papers from TB.	APJ	10/06/2024	MWSQ1.2	
WQ.13	update paragraph 2.1.1.3 of the paper with reference to industry rather than environmental health conducting monitoring.	APJ	10/06/2024	MWSQ1.2	
<b>Ornithology</b>					
O.01	FL and APJ to provide NE 2022 advice to RS, AL, LH.	FL/ APJ	COMPLETE	O3.0	Sent in email dated 18/03
O.02	HTR share clarification on how the areas wind speed data is calculated.	HTR	COMPLETE	O3.0	Info to be shared in O.01
O.03	FL and APJ to provide email information on evidence relating to fulmar displacement	FL/ APJ	COMPLETE	O3.0	Sent in email dated 18/03
O.04	LH to confirm if it is possible to share most up to data on migratory birds (calf of man annual reports from bird observatory).	LH	COMPLETE	O3.0	APJ has spoken with Calf of Man contact and is discussing use of data with Ornithology team

O.05	LH to confirm if there is any more up to date data available for colony counts on the calf that could be used to supplement available data	LH	COMPLETE	O3.0	APJ has spoken with Calf of Man contact and is discussing use of data with Ornithology team
O.06	APJ to include an image of the transects in final report.	APJ	COMPLETE	O2.0	
O.07	APJ to request the unpublished Calf of Man annual reports from Sean Murphy.	APJ	ES	O2.0	Ongoing
O.08	AL to find data from the nature reserves on the BH Gulls breeding numbers	AL	COMPLETE	O2.0	
O.09	Clarification to be added to the paper on how the intertidal receptors will be assessed as this is currently unclear.	APJ	05/06/2024	O3.1	
O.10	Confirm which approach we are using for calculating populations.	JM	COMPLETED	O3.1	
O.11	Review table 5 against schedule 1 birds to confirm if any are missing and justify why if they are not to be included.	APJ	05/06/2024	O3.1	
O.12	include ASSIs in the lists of designated sites considered within the conservation status of these receptors.	APJ	05/06/2024	O3.1	
O.13	Add in Schedule 1 protection to the consideration of conservation value.	APJ	05/06/2024	O3.1	
O.14	Review the baseline and add in a justification for the screening out of storm petrels if missing.	APJ	05/06/2024	O3.1	
O.15	Query MNH whether they would like to be included within these meeting owing to National Trust land.	HTR	05/06/2024	O3.1	
<b>Benthic Ecology</b>					
BE.01	RM to update study area text to clarify that impacts other than SSC are considered outside of the site specific study area	RM	COMPLETE	BE2.0	In progress
BE.02	CN to review whether we have access to 2021 Seasearch data and if not then LH to provide.	CN/ LH	COMPLETE	BE2.0	In progress
BE.03	RM to create a data coverage map	RM	ES	BE2.0	In progress
BE.04	PD to provide horse mussel data for Port Grenauh.	PD	21/03/2024	BE2.0	JULCA to setup Eco to share data.
BE.05	CN to confirm whether we have the raw data available for comparison / interpretation.	CN	COMPLETE	BE2.0	Lara has confirmed that Seasearch data is available for the ICM on NBN Atlas and thus this should be used.
BE.06	RM to update Figure 4 legend or a supporting table to list the named biotope types	RM	ES	BE2.0	In progress
BE.07	PD to provide some words in an email to confirm the conservation objectives (high level) for the MNRs.	PD	COMPLETE	BE2.0	
BE.08	LH and PD to review the VER list and flag any missing species.	LH/ PD	COMPLETE	BE2.0	No comments on minutes / list
BE.09	RM to send CN links to all referenced reports from PD to inform the benthic survey design.	RM	COMPLETE	BE2.0	
BE.10	RM to consider how we will make it clear in all EIA chapters how the future baseline will be considered / assessed.	RM	COMPLETE	BE2.0	
BE.11	RM to add 1 line that the scallops are assessed in the fish and fisheries chapter to the VER table / area.	RM	ES	BE2.0	In progress
BE.12	RM to add clarifying text on the survey area.	RM	ES	BE2.0	
BE.13	APJ to ensure there is a link included between the PSA chapter and this assessment in the chapter.	APJ	ES	BE3.0	
BE.14	Add in a footnote to Table 3 to clarify that for horse mussel reefs it is noted that the habitats are different across different areas and that the classification is as appropriate as is possible.	APJ	ES	BE3.0	
BE.15	Update paragraphs 2.1.5.1 and 2.1.5.2 to clarify the emphasis on Crepidula and the Isle	APJ	ES	BE3.0	
BE.16	PD to provide still images of the nudibranchs and their habitat to enable review and pot	PD	COMPLETE	BE3.0	
BE.17	Review the inclusion of seapen habitat to the East of the island in Table 5.	APJ	ES	BE3.0	
<b>Marine Mammals</b>					
MM.01	BM to share citation for Thompson 2023 paper	Bryony Manley, MWDW	COMPLETE	MM1.0	
MM.02	Change MM-05 to LSE and carry through for assessment	Go/Orsted	COMPLETE	MM1.0	in chapter
MM.03	APJ to review IMMAs and discuss their inclusion in the baseline report with marine mammals specialist.	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
MM.04	PD to share link to published reports. (COMPLETE)	PD	COMPLETE	MM2.0	
MM.05	HTR to follow up with LH and on if its possible to have the raw numbers / data for 2023 if not published.	HTR	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In progress (LH to share 2022 report) HATOW chased 15/04
MM.06	APJ to ensure additional paragraph is included in the baseline report to explain how the MWDW data has been/ will be used if not used to develop density estimates.	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline



MM.07	PD to share his comments / notes on this topic.	PD	COMPLETE	MM2.0	
MM.08	Wording to be updated in paper.	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
MM.09	APJ to ensure wording to updated and made clearer for the final baseline report.	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
MM.10	PD to share the student thesis on Risso's dolphins and share as this may be useful for contextual information for the site.	PD	15/03/2024	MM2.0	
MM.11	APJ proportion of sightings including calves from data to be added to this section.	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
MM.12	APJ sub-sections to be added into species accounts within the report to describe seasonality, connectivity and composition (Risso's and Bottlenose Dolphin crucial).	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
MM.13	APJ to review the MWDW data for increasing common dolphin numbers.	APJ	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
MM.14	HTR to follow up with LH to get MWT comments on this paper.	HTR	COMPLETE	MM2.0	Received via email 14/03/24
MM.15	APJ to discuss the potential to include a seasonality summary column in Table 10 with marine mammals specialist	APJ/ GoBe	COMPLETE	MM2.0	In baseline
<b>Underwater Noise</b>					
UWN.01	HTR to discuss with CAMEM (Orsted) the potential for an educational event with local fishers on the beneficial associated projects Orsted have run in other areas, as well as an information event to discuss when the opportunities for guard work are expected.	HTR	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	In Progress - CAMEM and HATOW planning
UWN.02	RM to correct the reference to Ramsey bay MNR at paragraph 3.1.1.2.	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.03	RM to add to justification of SW location that this is within the scallop (K&Q) spawning grounds.	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.04	RM to update the UWN note with the updated table 2 and correct figures.	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.05	HTR to discuss with CAMEM a presentation to the fishers on site refinement.	HTR	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	In Progress - CAMEM and HATOW planning
UWN.06	RM to review paragraph 4.3.1.2 on tide height and confirm against the data we have as 4m seems low. Also review salinity figure should be ppt.	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.07	RM to review wording 5.2.3.6 and consider rephrasing to make this clearer.	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.08	RM to check if there is a more up to date guideline from the Popper et al 2014 figures	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.09	RM to review updating consideration of shellfish and literature availability.	RM	COMPLETE	UWN3.0	
UWN.10	reference to distance in 5.3.3.11 to be updated with further information.	RM	ES	UWN3.0	Above actions complete but need to reshare paper when we meet about outcomes
<b>Fish &amp; Fisheries</b>					
FS.01	IB to share 2022 Paper on EMF impacts to crab and lobster with RM	Isobel Bloor, BU	COMPLETE	FS1.0 & BE1.0	
FS.02	RM and HTR propose how FS-11 no LSE will be dealt with following discussion with technical advisors.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.0 & BE1.0	Presented FS2.0
FS.03	RM and HTR to update Position Paper with more recent evidence and information showing increased efficiency of turbines and noise.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.0 & BE1.0	Presented FS2.0
FS.04	PD and DB to provide horse mussel mapping data for Ramsey MNR in the same format as previously provided.	Peter Duncan, Defa	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.05	HTR and RM to discuss ways in which Commercial Fisheries and Fish & Shellfish chapters can be integrated, including combining of datasets tables.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	Presented CF2.0
FS.06	PD to discuss available data with DEFA, MWT, MFPO, Bangor Uni to provide meta data available and provide to Orsted for inclusion within the Baseline data sources.	Peter Duncan, Defa	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.07	RM to present the presence of scallops and include within the figures of the Spawning and Nursery grounds section.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.08	RM to review Nephrops data to confirm that the figure can to be discounted due to lack of presence within the wind farm area.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.09	RM to obtain AFBI data for herring to add into baseline data sets.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.10	RM to update reference to surveys in paragraph 2.4.3.8 to ensure they're referred to as annual.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.11	RM to update statement on extracting scallops from Ramsey MNR with reference to the existing sea fishing licence within the fisheries management zone.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.12	MWT to confirm when the migratory species booklet is available for Orsted to consider within the migratory species list.	Lara Howe, MWT	21/02/2024	FS1.1&2.0	Recently followed up and confirmed close to being ready for issue
FS.13	PD to ask within MFPO about landings of commercial fish as a supplementary data source.	Peter Duncan, Defa	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.14	Orsted to discuss with JE the availability of fisheries data on registered vessels for static and mobile fishing (only 2 UK whelk boats fish the area, the remaining will be Isle of Man) o enable distinguishing of fishers on each coast within the ICES rectangle, to add Ramsey to the list of ports in the final sentence and to remove sections on Nephrops as a species of commercial importance.	Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	

FS.15	RM to update format of list with single list of species, with justification of how they have been identified as key species, with different impacts detailed and explanation of how to read the table and to include whelk and lobster as VERs.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
FS.16	HTR to provide coordinates of the ZOI to MWT to be provided to MCS for all known data records to be extracted.	GoBe/Orsted	COMPLETE	FS1.1&2.0	
CF.01	IB to share fishing intensity maps and end of season report.	IB	COMPLETE	CF2.0	
CF.02	IB to confirm if it's possible to show the data each season on a monthly basis over 2017-2023. Then if possible exploring what is feasible from 2013-2016.	IB	COMPLETE	CF2.0	
CF.03	FN to request updated number of IoM SFAs for Manx / non-Manx vessels and numbers for non-quota fish figures from JE once they are available (approx. mid-april)	FN	COMPLETE	CF2.0	
CF.04	DB to provide the bycatch study data up to 2019.	DB	18/03/2024	CF2.0	Partially provided
CF.05	FN to pull out the tables on valuation and share with DB for comment and review.	FN	COMPLETE	CF2.0	
CF.06	PD to provide written comments on the baseline report for review and inclusion.	PD	COMPLETE	CF2.0	
CF.07	FN inclusion of herring in Table 3.	FN	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.08	RM / GoBe to keep both the MMO and Bangor data sets in the baseline but make it totally clear how the MMO data does not accurately represent the Manx fleet.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.09	RM/GoBe remove queen dredge for IoM from table 3.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.10	RM/GoBe 4.1.3.5 text to be updated to include 37ES for nephrops	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.11	RM/GoBe New table specific to the proposed development and ECSA to show the local and regional fisheries. Table 3 add IoM pelagic trawl herring.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.12	RM/GoBe 4.1.3.2 correct figure to 2011.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.13	RM/GoBe NI fishers for haddock in the south of the study area to be noted in Table 3.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.14	RM/GoBe : beam trawl, not beam trawl. Turn this into a table and show regional, local and proposed development areas.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.15	RM/GoBe : multiple minor actions to fishing gear tables.	RM/GoBe	ES	CF2.0	In progress
CF.16	JE to share a link to the consultation on the future MNRs	JE	COMPLETE [in Teams chat]	CF2.0	
FF.01	RM paper to be cleaned up and re-issued with sensitivity tables tidied up.	RM/GoBe	ES	FF3.0	
FF.02	Call between PD and Orsted to clarify the species features that should be included in the consideration of national important receptors under the Wildlife Act.	HTR/Orsted	COMPLETE	FF3.0	
FF.03	FN and SP to review the assessment of value against each other and look for opportunities for further alignment.	FN	ES	FF3.0	
FF.04	FN to share a list of the defined fleets for the assessment.	FN	COMPLETE	FF3.0	
FF.05	FN to update table to make separate columns for demersal trawl for queen scallops and demersal trawl for Nephrops and mixed demersal species. FN to ensure that all species within the local study area are also listed within the regional study area Double check the inclusion of FN to check the inclusion of EU vessels beam trawling within the local study area. FN to create separate columns for pots and traps targeting whelk and crab and lobster and check non-IoM vessel licences for these fleets. FN to update table based on everyone's comments and then re-circulate.	FN	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
FF.06	RM to review this against the list provided in Table 4 and share with benthic ecology team.	RM	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
FF.07	Table 6 to be updated. Langness Bay MNR is Langness not Langness Bay.	RM	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
FF.08	JB to include details of the historic ASSI estuarine site in the TR.	JB	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
FF.09	JE to provide landings data for seasonal IoM King scallop landings and the Manx share if possible to demonstrate increases in Manx landings.	JE	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
FF.10	FN to check why 2021 data is missing from all EEZ table.	FN	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
FF.11	RM to update baseline document to ensure scientific names are included within the receptor table.	RM	15/07/2024	FF1.1	
<b>Shipping &amp; Navigation</b>					
SN.01	Provide slide deck and minutes with updates slide detailing vessel traffic by vessel course.	Anatec Ltd	COMPLETE	SN2.4 & 3.4	
SN.02	Provide slide deck and minutes.	Anatec Ltd	COMPLETE	SN2.3 & 3.3	
SN.03	Provide slide deck and minutes.	Anatec	COMPLETE	SN2.0 & 3.0	
SN.04	Send link to Commitments Register	GoBe	COMPLETE	SN2.0 & 3.0	

SN.05	Provided Chamber with Regular Operator Letter and list of operators.	Anatec	COMPLETE	SN2.0 & 3.0	
SN.06	Provide slide deck and minutes.	Anatec Ltd	COMPLETE	SN2.1 & 3.1	
SN.07	Provide routing information based on deviations	Stena Line	COMPLETE	SN2.1 & 3.1	
SN.08	Provide slide deck and minutes.	Anatec Ltd	COMPLETE	SN2.2 & 3.2	
SN.09	Provide data on the commercial impact of route deviations on Steam Packet	Steam Packet	COMPLETE	SN2.2 & 3.2	
<b>Aviation</b>					
AR.01	Gary to email RM and HTR a shapefile of the Isle of Man MLAT sensors and a copy of the comments provided on the Scoping Report.	Gary Cobb, Dal	COMPLETE		Comments on SR received via email 06/03
AR.02	HTR confirm with central DoI scoping opinion contact if loM Met office have been consulted. If not then consider adding to the consultee list.	Orsted	COMPLETE		
AR.03	HTR to double check CAA loM were included.	Orsted	COMPLETE		
AR.04	RM to get MLATs added to the ACT LoS maps.	GoBe	COMPLETE		
AR.05	Mislabelling of the loM ARP in Figure 9. Should be Valley not loM. To be updated.	GoBe	COMPLETE		
AR.06	Update impact AR.02 description in outcomes report to clarify that it does not include IC	RM	ES	AR.4.0	
<b>Other Marine Users</b>					
<b>SLVIA</b>					
SLVIA.01	HTR to email Rob in loM gov GIS to request National Trust data layer	Orsted	COMPLETE	SLVIA1.0&2.0	Requested and awaiting data
SLVIA.02	APJ/HB to update baseline technical report for EIA/PR with consideration of National Trust land.	GoBe	ES	SLVIA1.0&2.0	
SLVIA.03	Update technical baseline to show working. APJ recommends mapping NT lands and then add to ZTV and show consideration.	GoBe	ES	SLVIA1.0&2.0	
SLVIA.04	APJ/HB to add in National Trust areas to Table 4.	GoBe	ES	SLVIA1.0&2.0	
SLVIA.05	HTR to confirm why 3 of the viewpoints are so close together	Orsted	COMPLETE	SLVIA1.0&2.0	Requested by DoI gov stakeholders
SLVIA.06	Add in proposed viewpoint at the summit in South Barrule.	Orsted	COMPLETE	SLVIA1.0&2.0	Clarification out till 10 May
SLVIA.07	APJ cross check with onshore team whether the dark sky areas are included within the recreation impact assessment.	GoBe	COMPLETE	SLVIA3.0	Hatch to add in as a recreational receptor.
<b>Offshore Archeology</b>					
OA.01	RM to share Wessex's contact details with MNH in case AJ is available to attend any of the settings assessment survey days.	RM	COMPLETE	OA3.0	
OA.02	AJ to follow up with loM GIS team to chase delivery of the National Trust Land layer.	AJ	Ongoing	OA3.0	
OA.03	RM to ensure relevant MMNT section 20 is added to legislation and policy employed within this assessment.	RM	ES	OA3.0	
<b>Onshore Ecology</b>					
<b>LVIA</b>					
LV-05-1.1	JESSA to follow up with AJ once the OECC installation methodology is confirmed	Orsted	COMPLETE	OIA.1.2	
<b>Onshore Archeology &amp; Cultural Heritage</b>					
OAH-05-1.1	JESSA to follow-up with Abigail Morgan (AM) (DEFA) to confirm the No LSE position with regards to OAH-05 and specifically in relation to Registered Buildings.	Orsted	Ongoing	OIA.1.2	Email sent to DEFA requesting contact details

Traffic & Transport						
TT-02-1.1	JESSA to follow up with Kevin Almond (DoI) regarding the agreement of the No LSEs in relation to TT-02.	Orsted	Ongoing	OIA.1.2	Email sent to DoI seeking agreement on the No LSE Position	
TT-02-1.2	JESSA to follow up with Kevin Almond (DoI) regarding the agreement of the No LSEs in relation to TT-03.	Orsted	Ongoing	OIA.1.2	Email sent to DoI seeking agreement on the No LSE Position	
MA&D-05-1.1	JESSA to follow up with Kevin Almond (DoI) regarding the agreement of the No LSEs in relation to MA&D-05.	Orsted	Ongoing	OIA.1.2	Email sent to DoI seeking agreement on the No LSE Position	
Noise & Vibration						
NV-10-1.2	IF and MJ to ensure that noise specialists are aware of the potential piling noise when completing analysis of the noise background data.	Orsted	COMPLETE	OIA.1.2		
Air Quality						
Land Use & Ground Conditions						
Hydrology						
Protected Sites Assessment						
PSA.01	PSA document to be updated to include Ramsar in Section 2.1.1.4 and the Bonn Convention point to be updated to include Albatrosses and Petrels.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.02	GoBe to review paragraph 2.1.1.6 in light of the Bern convention applying to the island and update where necessary.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.03	Isobel Bloor (IB) to provide a shapefile for this site which is shown in the East Douglas Experimental Research Area report for GoBe to consider screening in.	IB	31/05/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.04	GoBe to review Manx Birdlife's Isle of Man Seabird Census against the BTO SMP locations and update the PSA Screening if necessary.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.05	DB and PD to send over a juvenile salmon and trout report on Santon Gorge.	PD	COMPLETE	PSA1.0		
PSA.06	APJ to include a note in the screening to clarify that where Zols intersect with part of a site, the site is still considered in its entirety.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.07	GoBe to update ASP section to take account the reason for designation, with justification of lack of impact pathways and assessment of migratory birds included.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.08	GoBe to include a general statement about the inclusion of legally protected sites, acknowledging that the lack of legal protected status on some sites on the Isle of Man did not necessarily reflect the quality of those sites.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.09	GoBe to request management plans on sites designated under the Manx Museum & National Trust Act 1959, specifically "The Calf of Man and Kitterland", "The Chasms, Spanish Head and the Sugarloaf" and "Eary Cushlin, Creggan Moor (Patrick)" for consideration in PSA.	APJ	31/05/2024	PSA1.0		
PSA.10	GoBe to share updated PSA Screening with TAG, with summary of changes and consideration of sites that aren't legally designated, to decide whether a further workshop is required.	APJ	28/06/2024	PSA1.0		

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
SE-D1.01	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-03	No LSE agreed			Agreed No LSE
SE-D1.02	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-08	No LSE agreed			Agreed No LSE
SE-D1.03	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-09	DfE requested further information was needed for the IotM Fire & Rescue Service to justify No LSE for impacts to emergency services	Further clarification required to justify how the impact to emergency services was concluded as No LSE.	29/02/24: JESSA provided clarification via email to DfE 29/02/24: DfE responded, citing that IotM FRS have no concerns.	Agreed No LSE
SE-D1.04	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-11	No LSE agreed			Agreed No LSE
SE-D1.05	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-12	No LSE agreed			Agreed No LSE
SE-D1.06	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-14	No LSE agreed			Agreed No LSE
SE-D1.07	Socioeconomics No LSE SE-16	No LSE agreed			Agreed No LSE
CC-D1-01	Climate Change No LSE CC-01	Agreement that the impact was No LSE.			Agreed No LSE
CC-D1-02	Climate Change No LSE CC-02	Agreement that the impact was No LSE			Agreed No LSE
WM-D1-01	Waste & Materials No LSE	Further time was needed for the TAG to review the No LSE Position Paper for MW-02 and that a decision would be provided within 2 weeks by email.	TAG group to review Position Paper and confirm via email their decision within 2 weeks	17/05/24: DEFA outlined their concerns via email, Orsted/SLR to discuss and update Position Paper	
<b>Baseline</b>					
SE-D2-01	Study Areas	Decided that the Study Areas may need to be revised based on the Assessment Outcomes	Subject to assessment outcomes in other EIA topics, Study Areas may need to be revised to account for additional impacts not considered currently		
SE-D2-02	Data sources	Decided that additional data sources were needed to be considered based on their availability	Additional data sources to be requested and provided by Oversight Group attendees		
SE-D2-03	Baseline characterisation	Decided that additional information was required to fully characterise the baseline.	Further information required to fully characterise the baseline, including tourist assets, passenger data, imports/exports, subject to availability. All actions captured in the relevant meeting minutes (S2.0)		
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
MP-D.1.01	No LSE MP-12	Could be No LSE but further consideration of alternative literature to be undertaken in an update to the No LSE Position Paper which will then be considered for agreement.	No LSE Position Paper to be updated with further information on the location of the Irish Sea Front.	Updated No LSE PP provided and discussed in meeting MP1.1, where it was agreed there would be No LSE on the frontal system.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
MP-D.2.01	Study Area	Study area sufficient for initial data collection but to be refined following modelling.			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
WQ-D1.01	No LSE WQ-05	No LSE Agreed			Agreed
WQ-D1.02	Plankton Receptors	Agreement that plankton can be scoped out as a standalone receptor.			Agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
WQ-D2.01	Study Area	All agree that the proposed study areas and refinements are appropriate.			Agreed
WQ-D2.02	Data sources	The list of data sources is appropriate, with the review and inclusion of the additional datasets provided.			Agreed
WQ-D2.03	Sediment quality levels and standards	It was agreed that where Cefas Action Levels are provided for contaminants, then these should be used. Where there is no Cefas Action Level for a contaminant, the Canadian Levels should be used.			Agreed
WQ-D2.04	Key receptors	The identified key receptors are appropriate with the addition of Port Levaigue as a non-designated bathing water.			Agreed
WQ-D2.05	Grab sample analysis	The list of contaminants proposed for lab analysis from the site specific grab samples is appropriate.			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
O.D.1.01	No LSE O-07	Agreed No LSE (note Manx Wildlife Trust obtain from decision)			Agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
O.D.2.01	Study Area	All agree the Study Area is appropriate			Agreed
O.D.2.02	Data Sources	Data Sources are agreed appropriate with updates discussed in Minutes	Update to data sources and re-present baseline		
O.D.2.03	DAS Results	All agree with the list of identified species and that the data outputs will be appropriate			Agreed
O.D.2.04	Manx seabird colonies and designated sites	Further updates needed to inform characterisation of Manx seabird colonies	Update to data sources and manx bird colony numbers and re-present baseline		
O.D.2.05	Migratory seabird and non-seabird assessment	All agree with the approach to assessment of migratory seabirds and non-seabirds			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
O.D.3.01	CRM species list	With the inclusion of Manx Shearwater, the species list for CRM is appropriate and complete			Agreed
O.D.3.02	CRM methodology	Methodology and approach for CRM is appropriate			Agreed
O.D.3.03	Disturbance and displacement methodology	The methodology and approach for disturbance and displacement assessment is appropriate			Agreed
O.D.3.04	Displacement species list	Further information on evidence relating to fulmar displacement is required to determine whether the species list for displacement is complete	Freddie to draft a response to email to TAC members for comment	No comments or disagreements were received in response to the email response	
O.D.3.05	Apportionment	The methodology and approach for apportionment is appropriate			Agreed
O.D.3.06	PVA	The approach to PVA is appropriate, noting that further discussion will be required on the species and methodology once assessment outcomes are available			Agreed
O.D.3.07	Assessment Methodology	Agreed that the approach proposed to assessing magnitude, sensitivity and thus significance is appropriate for ornithological receptors			Agreed
O.D.3.08	Colony Assessment	Agreed the proposed approach to assessing impacts to colonies is appropriate			Agreed
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					



Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
BE-D1.01	No LSE BE-10	No LSE Agreed			Agreed
BE-D1.02	No LSE BE-07	No LSE Agreed			Agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
BE-D2.01	Study areas	Study areas agreed as appropriate, noting SSC study area will undergo refinement following modelling			Agreed
BE-D2.02	Benthic survey locations	Agreed by all that new benthic survey locations should be offset from the white locations to provide additional data			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
BE-D3.01	Magnitude	Agree this methodology is appropriate for the identification of magnitude.			Agreed
BE-D3.02	Sensitivity	Agree approach and use of MarLIN and MarESA approach as this is considered industry standard.			Agreed
BE-D3.03	Key receptor list	Agree the key receptor list is appropriate if further receptors are added based upon the benthic subtidal survey results.			Agreed
BE-D3.04	Determining significance of effect	Agree methodology is appropriate but want to see the appendix A sensitivity tables again once the subtidal surveys results are completed.			Agreed
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
MM-D.1.01	No LSE MM-05	Cannot agree no LSE	Agreed to assess MM-05 as LSE in EIA PR		Agreed
MM-D.1.02	No LSE MM-11	No LSE Agreed			Agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
MM-D2.01	Data sources	Complete list of data sources, although note up to date seal report needed			Agreed
MM-D2.02	Leatherback turtle	Agreed not to take leatherback turtles through to assessments based upon recorded numbers in IAH waters			Agreed
MM-D2.03	Density and abundance estimates	Density and abundance estimates for listed species are appropriate			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>Methodology</b>					
UWN-D3.01	Modelling locations	All agreed locations			Agreed
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
FS-D1.01	No LSE FS-11 (scallops and whelks)	If scallops and whelks are considered within this then LSE for those specific receptors or Orsted need to provide more evidence.	Document Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Fish and Shellfish Ecology to be updated	Updated paper discussed in meeting FS2.0	Agreed No LSE
FS-D1.02	No LSE FS-11 (other receptor groups)	No LSE for all other receptor groups agreed			
FS-D1.03	No LSE FS-09	Could be No LSE but more information needed for the turbine sizes that are going to be built.	Document Moor Vannin No LSE Position Paper Fish and Shellfish Ecology to be updated	Updated paper discussed in meeting FS2.0	Agreed No LSE
CF-D1.01	No LSE CF-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
FS-D2.01	Study Area	Disagreement over the spatial extent of the Study Area. The Technical Advisory Group (TAG) position is that the parameters used to assess suspended sediment plumes aren't precautionary enough due to being based on mean spring tides rather than maximum spring tides. DEFA believe the Study Area should include the Ramsey MNR boundary extent and await the modelling to be used to inform the Study Area.	To be updated based upon SSC modelling		
FS-D2.02	Data sources	The Data Sources section needs updating with more relevant Marx data sources.	Baseline document to be updated		
FS-D2.03	Spawning and nursery grounds	The Spawning and Nursery grounds section needs figures showing the presence of scallops (which would also indicate the locations of the spawning grounds) in the chapter as this was a key omission.	Baseline document to be updated		
FS-D2.04	Regional studies	Updates needed based on more recent data from Isle of Man.	Baseline document to be updated		
FS-D2.05	Designated sites and protected species	Minor clarification updates needed but identified species and sites acceptable			Agreed
CF-D2.01	Baseline data	receive agreed 2017-2023 data first and then assess whether there is benefit in receiving historical data.			Agreed
CF-D2.02	Study Area	the study area is suitable if ICES 36E5 included	include ICES 36E5 in study area	Agreement on revised study area confirmed at meeting FF1.1	Agreed
CF-D2.03	Data Sources	generally ok but landings data should be reviewed and updated based on discussion with MFPO on valuations, and data from Bangor to be included as well.			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
CF-D3.01	Approach to fish ecology assessment methodology - Magnitude	Agreed appropriate			Agreed
CF-D3.02	Approach to fish ecology assessment methodology - Sensitivity	Agreed appropriate once paper is updated.			
CF-D3.03	Approach to fisheries commercial assessment methodology - Magnitude	Agreed appropriate			Agreed
CF-D3.04	Approach to fisheries commercial assessment methodology - Sensitivity	Agreed appropriate			Agreed
CF-D3.05	Approach to determining significance	Agreed appropriate			Agreed
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
AR-D1.01	No LSE AR-13 (A)	LSE for the loM SSR/MLAT sensors	Assess impact AR-13 for the loM MLAT sensor	loM MLAT system scoped into assessment under AR-13	Agreed
AR-D1.02	No LSE AR-13 (B)	No LSE agreed for all other SSR conclusions			Agreed
AR-D1.03	No LSE AR-15	Agreed No LSE			Agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
AR-D2.01	Study Area	Agree as appropriate			Agreed
AR-D2.02	Key receptors	Key receptors. If loM secondary system is included now agreed.	Identify loM MLAT system as key receptor for assessment	loM MLAT system scoped into assessment under AR-13	Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
AR-D4.01	AR-01	Agreed not significant			Agreed
AR-D4.02	AR-04	Broadly agree but review required following construction			Agreed
AR-D4.03	AR-05	Agree major significant, mitigation discussion needed			Agreed
AR-D4.04	AR-07	Agreed not significant			Agreed
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: OMU-D1.01	No LSE OMU-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
SLVIA-D1.01	SLV-06 No LSE	Agreed that the justification for No LSE for SLV-06 (temporary effects associated with offshore cable installation) is appropriate and with conclusion of No LSE			Agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
SLVIA-D2.01	Study Area	Agreed the study area is appropriate for the assessment of SVLIA			Agreed
SLVIA-D2.02	Data Sources	Agreed all others are suitable and data source list will be complete with addition of National Trust land			Agreed
SLVIA-D2.03	Seascope character	Agreed all others are suitable and data source list will be complete with addition of National Trust land RPT/HS to reconsider H4 for SVLIA impacts and provide further justification if necessary. Everything else is suitable.			Agreed
SLVIA-D2.04	Landscape Character	Landscape character is suitable if National Trust land is included in consideration.			Agreed
SLVIA-D2.05	Landscape designations	Agreed all landscape designations are appropriate once National Trust land is included.			Agreed
<b>Methodology</b>					
SLVIA-D3.01	Assessing Visual Effects	Assessment methodology agreed appropriate.			Agreed
SLVIA-D3.02	Assessing Night time Visual Effects	Assessment methodology agreed appropriate.			Agreed
SLVIA-D3.03	Assessing seascope / landscape effects	Assessment methodology agreed appropriate.			Agreed
SLVIA-D3.04	Evaluation of significance and nature of effects	Assessment methodology agreed appropriate.			Agreed
SLVIA-D3.05	Assessing cumulative seascope, landscape and visual effects	Assessment methodology agreed appropriate.			Agreed
SLVIA-D3.06	Visual representations	Visual representations agreed appropriate.			Agreed
SLVIA-D3.07	Settings assessment	Assessment methodology agreed appropriate.			Agreed
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					



Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
OA-D1.01	No LSE OA-01	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co.38, Co.39, Co.40 and C041.			Agree
OA-D1.02	No LSE OA-02	Agreed No LSE based on the information provided and adoption of Commitments Co.38, Co.39, Co.40 and C041.			Agree
OA-D1.03	No LSE OA-03	Agreed No LSE based on the information provided and adoption of Commitments Co.38, Co.39, Co.40 and C041.			Agree
<b>Baseline</b>					
OA-D2.01	Approach and Methodology	Agreed appropriate based on best practice guidance.			Agree
OA-D2.02	Study area	The Study Area described is appropriate.			Agree
OA-D2.03	Data Sources	The data sources identified for baseline characterisation are agreed appropriate.			Agree
OA-D2.04	Characterisation - palaeogeography	Characterisation described is accurate and appropriate.			Agree
OA-D2.05	Characterisation - Maritime and aviation sites and intertidal heritage assets	Characterisation described is accurate and appropriate.			Agree
OA-D2.06	Assessment of historic seascape character	Characterisation described is accurate and appropriate.			Agree
<b>Methodology</b>					
OA-D3.01	Legislation and Policy for settings assessment	agree with the proposed general approach and relevant legislation and policy (if Manx, Museum and National Trust Act is included).			Agree
OA-D3.02	Approach to relevant asset identification	agree with the approach to the identification of relevant assets.			Agree
OA-D3.03	Approach to screening	Agree with the proposed approach to screening.			Agree
OA-D3.04	Approach to sensitivity assessment	Agree with the proposed approach to sensitivity assessment.			Agree
OA-D3.05	Approach to magnitude assessment	Agree with the proposed approach to magnitude assessment.			Agree
OA-D3.06	Approach to significance assessment	Agree with the proposed approach to significance assessment.			Agree
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: E-D1.01	No LSE E-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
E-D1.01	No LSE ECO-04	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co6 and Co15.		27/02/2024: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development. 14/06/2024: An email explaining removal of the impact was issued to the relevant TAG stakeholders. The TAG stakeholders acknowledged the rationale provided and agreed with the decision to remove it (emails sent on 20/06 and 02/07/24).	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: LVIA-D1.01	No LSE LVIA-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LVIA-D1.01	No LSE LVIA-05	No LSE unable to be agreed upon until confirmation of installation methodology.	Further information regarding installation needed.	26-28/06/24: Further evidence provided in an email to the relevant TAG stakeholder with further technical detail and justification for the conclusion of No LSE. The TAG stakeholder agreed that assessment of impacts LVIA-05 is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LVIA-D1.02	No LSE LVIA-06	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co15.		20/06/24: Further evidence presented during the TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LVIA-D1.03	No LSE LVIA-07	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co15.		20/06/24: Further evidence presented during the TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LVIA-D1.04	No LSE LVIA-08	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co15.		20/06/24: Further evidence presented during the TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: ACH-D1.01	No LSE ACH-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
OAH-D1.01	No LSE OAH-05	No LSE Unable to be agreed until consultation from DEFA.	Further consultation with DEFA required.	26/06/24: A follow-up email sent to DEFA requesting details of the relevant TAG stakeholder to discuss the impact	No LSE unable to be agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: TT-D1.01	No LSE TT-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
TT-D1.01	No LSE HH-13	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further details/survey work is required.		27/02/2024: Further evidence is required for No LSE to be agreed. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
TT-D1.02	No LSE TT-01	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.	Further consultation with Dol required.	27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Further consultation is required with Dol. 25/06/24: A follow-up email issued to Dol to seek agreement on No LSE position for the impact	No LSE unable to be agreed
TT-D1.03	No LSE TT-02	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.	Further consultation with Dol required.	27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Further consultation is required with Dol. 25/06/24: A follow-up email issued to Dol to seek agreement on No LSE position for the impact	No LSE unable to be agreed
TT-D1.04	No LSE MA&D-05	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.	Further consultation with Dol required.	27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Further consultation is required with Dol. 25/06/24: A follow-up email issued to Dol to seek agreement on No LSE position for the impact	No LSE unable to be agreed
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: NV-D1.01	No LSE NV-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
NV-D1.01	No LSE NV-11	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.		27/02/2024: Further evidence is required before no LSE is agreed. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
NV-D1.02	No LSE HH-17	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
NV-D1.03	No LSE HH-18	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
NV-D1.04	No LSE NV-10	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information.	SLR to ensure that noise specialists are aware of the potential piling noise when completing analysis of the noise background data.	27/02/2024: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: AQ-D1.01	No LSE AQ-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
AQ-D1.01	No LSE AQ-05	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co28.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
AQ-D1.02	No LSE AQ-06	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co5.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
AQ-D1.03	No LSE AQ-09	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co6.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
AQ-D1.04	No LSE HH-12	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further survey work is required.		27/02/2024: No LSE was unable to be agreed, further data/survey effort required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
AQ-D1.05	No LSE HH-13	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co6.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
AQ-D1.06	No LSE AQ-01	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co10, Co17 and Co19.		27/02/2024: No LSE was unable to be agreed, further data/survey effort required. 20/06/24: Further evidence presented in the follow-up TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
AQ-D1.11	No LSE AQ-02	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co17 and Co28.		20/06/24: Further evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
AQ-D1.12	No LSE AQ-03	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co17 and Co28.		20/06/24: Further evidence presented in the TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
AQ-D1.07	No LSE AQ-04	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co11, Co17 and Co19.		27/02/2024: Evidence presented in the TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE

AQ-D1.08	No LSE AQ-06	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co5.		adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
AQ-D1.09	No LSE AQ-07	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co5 and Co11.		adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
AQ-D1.10	No LSE HH-01	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co17, Co19 and Co21.		27/02/2024: No LSE was unable to be agreed, further data/survey effort required. 20/06/24: Further evidence presented in the follow-up TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					



Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes; green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: LUGC-D1.01	No LSE LUGC-01	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.01	No LSE LUGC-07	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the DEFA.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with DEFA. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.02	No LSE LUGC-08	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the DEFA.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with DEFA. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.03	No LSE LUGC-14	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the DEFA.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with DEFA. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.04	No LSE LUGC-17	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with Dol. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.05	No LSE LUGC-18	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with Dol. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.06	No LSE LUGC-19	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with Dol. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.07	No LSE LUGC-20	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with Dol. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.08	No LSE LUGC-21	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with Dol. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.09	No LSE LUGC-23	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the Dol.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with Dol. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.10	No LSE LUGC-28	No LSE unable to be agreed upon and further consultation is required with the DEFA.		27/02/2024: Further consultation required with DEFA. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.11	No LSE LUGC-30	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co6		27/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
LUGC-D1.12	No LSE LUGC-02	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co23		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.13	No LSE LUGC-03	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co23		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.14	No LSE LUGC-04	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 19 and Co23		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.15	No LSE LUGC-09	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co20		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE

LUGC-D1.16	No LSE LUGC-10	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co20		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.17	No LSE LUGC-11	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17, Co19 and Co20		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.18	No LSE LUGC-13	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co17.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.19	No LSE LUGC-27	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co17.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.20	No LSE MA&D-04	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co19 and Co36.		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
LUGC-D1.21	No LSE HH-08	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co23.		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>No LSE</b>					
EXAMPLE: HFD1.01	No LSE H401	It was agreed that the No LSE Position Paper required further evidence to support the conclusions of No LSE.	Further evidence to be provided on the topic.	01/02/24: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.01	No LSE HFR-03	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co19.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
HFR-D1.02	No LSE HFR-08	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co46 and Co5.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
HFR-D1.03	No LSE HFR-09	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co46 and Co5.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
HFR-D1.04	No LSE HFR-11	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co19.		27/02/2024: Further evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 26/03/2024: Due to refinement of the onshore scope this impact is no longer relevant to the Proposed Development.	Impact has since been removed due to refinement of onshore scope.
HFR-D1.05	No LSE HFR-01	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co19.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.06	No LSE HFR-02	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co 17.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.07	No LSE HFR-04	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17, Co19 and Co20.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.08	No LSE HFR-05	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co19.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE

HFR-D1.09	No LSE HFR-06	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co19.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.10	No LSE HFR-07	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co5.		27/02/24: Evidence provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required. 20/06/24: Following changes to the onshore scope, the No LSE position was re-confirmed in the follow-up TAG workshop and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.11	No LSE HH-03	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitments Co 17 and Co19.		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.12	No LSE HH-04	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co19.		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
HFR-D1.13	No LSE HH-05	Agreed No LSE based on the provided information and adoption of Commitment Co19.		20/06/24: Evidence presented in TAG workshop provided adequate justification for the conclusion of No LSE and the assessment of impacts listed is not required.	Agreed No LSE
<b>Baseline</b>					
<b>Methodology</b>					
<b>Assessment Outcomes</b>					
<b>Monitoring &amp; Mitigation</b>					

Decision ID	Topic	Decisions (colour codes to match minutes: green = agree, amber = further information required, red = disagreement)	Action Required (General action for topic)	Further Comments (follow up updates and actions with dates)	Final Decision Green = Agree Red = Disagree
<b>Strategy</b>					
<b>Screening</b>					
PSA-D2.01	Screening	Further information needed to justify the exclusion of sites without legal protection, or inclusion of those sites in the PSA Screening list.	Updated to screening document required in line with actions. To be reissued to TAG once complete		
<b>Assessment</b>					